Dimensional Influence of Epithelialized Tissue Graft Harvested From Palate on Postoperative Pain: a Systematic Review
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of the present systematic review was to evaluate the dimensional influence of the epithelialized tissue graft harvested from the palate in the postoperative pain.

Material and Methods: Research was conducted in electronic databases Cochrane Library, Embase, LILACS, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science upwards May 15, 2022. Studies that reported the influence of graft dimensions of palatal epithelized harvesting on postoperative pain were eligible. The evaluation was made using the methodological quality assessment by Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for randomized clinical trials and non-randomized studies and the level of evidence according to GRADE.

Results: Four studies were included. The clinical and methodological heterogeneity among studies led to an analysed narrative. The postoperative pain was assessed during the period of 1 to 28 postoperative days. It was determined by using visual analog scale in three studies, while the evaluation was performed indirectly based on analgesics intake in one study. According to three studies, bigger graft sizes were associated with higher postoperative pain. The methodological quality assessment categorized two study as high (one randomized control trial and one non-randomized), and two as moderate (one randomized control trial and one non-randomized). The data was considered moderate.

Conclusions: Based on the moderate certainty level, bigger graft sizes of palatal epithelized harvesting appear to promote more postoperative pain. Understanding the postoperative pain as a response to a graft extension may assist some clinical decisions regarding the surgical periodontal and peri-implant planning.

Keywords: autografts; periodontal guided tissue regeneration; systematic review; wound healing.
INTRODUCTION

Due to the periodontal and peri-implant plastic surgery demands, the harvesting of epithelialized tissue graft from the palate has continued to be performed either in free gingival graft (FGG) technique or in connective tissue graft (CTG) after graft de-epithelialization [1]. These soft tissue grafts hold several indications to benefit periodontal and peri-implant health, used for tissue thickness augmentation and mucogingival deformities correction [1-4]. Although soft tissue grafts can be procured from distinct parts of the oral cavity such as edentulous regions and maxillary tuberosity, the palatal gingival tissue is the most common donor site [5,6]. The palate area enables large graft dimensions harvesting and has similarity with keratinized attached mucosa of the alveolar ridge [7]. Nonetheless, it has been suggested that autogenous soft tissue graft techniques may be associated with increased surgical time, postoperative pain, swelling, and bleeding [8,9].

Therefore, strategies to reduce overall patient affliction are currently being investigated [10,11]. They comprise different surgical harvesting techniques and methods of wound closure [12,13]. Additionally, some randomized clinical trials (RCTs) have evaluated epithelialized graft tissue dimensions on patient ailment [11,14-17] and have been discussed how to harvest the graft from the palate to minimize patient soreness [9]. The palatal mucosal thickness varies from the anatomical conditions of each patient [18], site [19,20], gender [21], and age [22]. When a collagen-rich graft is devoided of adipose and glandular tissues, it is indicated a more superficial harvesting area, which seems to provide better outcomes [23]. Therefore, in these cases, the FGG harvesting technique may represent benefits since the CTG will be composed of the lamina propria only or with minor additional parts of the submucosal layer. However, the FGG harvesting process promoted a denuded wound area involving healing by secondary intention, which may be related to increased postoperative pain.

Since there is no consensus concerning the dimensional effect on postoperative pain, the purpose of this systematic review was to critically appraise available evidence to answer the following focused question: “In patients who underwent surgical removal of palatal epithelialized tissue for graft purposes, what is the influence of the graft dimensions concerning postoperative pain?”

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Protocol and registration

The current systematic review was performed agreeing to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) [24]. The PRISMA checklist was employed [24]. The protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO). Registration No. CRD42020194423.

The protocol can be assessed at: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020194423

Focus question

The focused interrogation of this study “In patients who underwent surgical removal of palatal epithelialized tissue for graft purposes, what is the influence of the graft dimensions concerning postoperative pain?” followed the abbreviation PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study design) [25] (Table 1). Since there is no consensus at literature to determine what is a small or a big graft, included articles must have at least two different groups differing on graft size, as specified at Inclusion criteria section.

Information sources

The procedures included denominating keywords and MeSH terms, developing electronic search strategies, and adjusting them for six databases: Embase, Cochrane, PubMed/Medline, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences (LILACS), Scopus, and Web of Science. The search was carried out until May 15, 2022.

Table 1. PICOS guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient and population (P)</th>
<th>Patients who underwent surgical removal of palatal epithelialized tissue graft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention (I)</td>
<td>Small dimension of palatal epithelialized tissue graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator or control group (C)</td>
<td>Big dimension of palatal epithelialized tissue graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes (O)</td>
<td>Postoperative pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design (S)</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trials and non-randomized prospective studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Search

The included papers references were selected by hand for possibly relevant articles by two authors (M.E.) and (R.S.B.). Duplicate articles were removed using reference manager software - Mendeley® (Elsevier; London, UK). More information concerning appropriate truncation and word combinations for each specific database is obtainable in Appendix 1.

Study selection

Selecting the studies was accomplished by two self-governing reviewers (M.E. and R.S.B.). Initially, titles and abstracts were selected using an online software for systematic reviews Rayyan® (Qatar Computing Research Institute; HBKU, Doha, Qatar) [26]. Next, the same authors applied the eligibility to the full-text studies. Another author (K.A.B.) was accessed to make a final pronouncement in both phases if any disparity arose.

Types of publications

The review included studies on humans published in international journals. Abstracts, case-control studies, PhD thesis and literature reviews were excluded.

Types of studies

Randomized clinical trials and non-randomized prospective studies were considered eligible.

Types of participants/population

Patients within 18 years of age or older, males or females, no restriction of ethnicity, who underwent surgical removal of epithelized graft from palate for periodontal or peri-implant surgery.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria

Included studies should possess at least two groups that evaluate length, and/or thickness, and/or height of palatal epithelialized tissue harvesting employing patient postoperative pain through visual analog scale (VAS) or indirectly evaluated based on the mean of analgesics intake. There were no language and/or publication period restrictions included.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria adopted were:

- Study does not evaluate graft dimension and its association with postoperative pain.
- Study does not employ a subgroup classification based on graft dimensions.
- Donor site distinct from palate.
- Book chapters, guidelines, reviews, letters, conference, abstracts, case series, personal opinions, animal studies, and technique description.
- Duplicate data (e.g. dissertations and/or thesis whichever equivalent published articles were available).

Data extraction

Two authors (M.E. and R.S.B.) separately implemented the data assembly. Mistyping and mistakes were further checked for accuracy by the third reviewer (K.A.B.). Regarding the included studies, the ensuing information was collected: study characteristics (author, publication year, country, and study design); graft dimension groups (thickness, height, and/or width), graft measurement method, sample size, statistical analysis, postoperative pain, and main findings. If the required data was missing in the main text, four attempts to contact the corresponding authors of primary studies were made by e-mail in one-month period.

Data items

Graft dimension groups, based on thickness, height, and width, were evaluated in included studies according to the postoperative pain through VAS or indirectly evaluated based on analgesics intake.

Risk of bias within studies

The methodological quality assessment of the analysed studies was evaluated by two authors (M.E. and R.S.B.) using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for RCTs (Table 2) and the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies (non-randomized clinical trials) (Table 3) (https://joannabriggs.org/).

Divergences were deciphered by a third reviewer (K.A.B.). The possible answers to each question were: “yes (Y)”, “no (N)”, or “unclear (UN)”. The operational quality was considered as low when the paper extends to 49% score “yes”, moderate when the article encompassed 50% to 69% score “yes”, and high when the study went as more than 70% score “yes”.
Synthesis of results

A qualitative analysis of results was performed based on postoperative pain. Statistical pooling of data using meta-analysis was planned if studies were considered sufficiently homogeneous with regards to methodology and data availability.

Risk of bias across studies

An outline of the strength of evidence available was performed based on the “Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation” (GRADE). Summary of findings tables was produced on GRADE online software (GRADEpro GTD; Copenhagen, Denmark) [27].

RESULTS

Study selection

The search in the 6 main databases identified 1515 references (May 6, 2021). After removing the duplicates the number was 1101. Overall 1032 not relevant titles and abstracts was removed through screening. The eligibility criteria were applied to 69 papers for full-text reading. After thorough analysis, 4 articles were embodied in qualitative synthesis [16,17,23,28]. A flowchart summarizing this systematically selection process is shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

The included studies [16,17,23,28] were conducted in Italy, Poland, and Switzerland, those being published between the years of 2014 to 2018. Sample sizes ranged from 45 to 90 patients, resulting in a total of 254 participants. With exception of one study, that did not specify participants’ gender [23], all studies included both males and females. Two studies allowed smokers as participants [16,28], while for two studies smoking was considered an exclusion criterion [17,23]. Exceptionally in one study [28], analgesics were prescribed. Additional intervention to haemostasis and/or pain management applying haemostatic sponge [17] or haemostatic sponge-associated with cyanoacrylate [16] was performed. Three studies determined the postoperative pain through VAS [16,17,28], while one manuscript indirectly evaluated the pain based on...
the mean of analgesics intake [9] (Table 4).

**Risk of bias within studies**

According to JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for RCTs tool, the methodological quality of RCTs studies was classified as high by one study [11] and moderate by one manuscript [23] (Table 5). Considering non-randomized studies, the methodological quality was judge as high by one study [28] and moderate by one manuscript [17] (Table 6). The most concerning points regarding the non-randomized studies were: lack of a control group for both studies (there was just different groups, but it was not settled which was considered as control) [17,28]; just one measurement of postoperative discomfort [17].

**Results of individual studies**

Burkhardt et al. [28] in a prospective non randomized clinical trial described 90 patients for different periodontal and peri-implant plastic surgeries requiring palatal epithelized graft harvesting.
### Table 4. Summary of descriptive characteristics of included studies (n = 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Graft dimension groups (n)</th>
<th>Graft measurement</th>
<th>Donor site treatment</th>
<th>Outcome measurements</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tavelli et al. [11]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>≤ 1.5 mm; &gt; 1.5 mm</td>
<td>≤ 4 mm; &gt; 4 mm</td>
<td>&lt; 14 mm; ≥ 14 mm</td>
<td>Periodontal probe</td>
<td>Haemostatic porcine absorbable sponge alone or associated with tissue adhesive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyrębek et al. [17]</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Prospective non-randomized</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>≤ 2 mm (n = 30); &gt; 2 mm (n = 30)</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>≤ 10 mm (n = 20)</td>
<td>Periodontal probe</td>
<td>Haemostatic sponge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zucchelli et al. [23]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>≥ 2 mm (n = 30); &lt; 2 mm (n = 30)</td>
<td>Equal to bone dehiscence (n = 30); 4 mm (n = 30)</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Periodontal probe</td>
<td>Equine-derived collagen and suture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkhardt et al. [28]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Prospective non-randomized</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>≤ 1 mm (n = 16); 1.01 to 2 mm (n = 49); &gt; 2 mm (n = 25)</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasonic device and periodontal probe</td>
<td>Gauze soaked in saline and a 15% ferric sulphate solution in case of bleeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = number of group population, VAS = visual analog scale.
The authors organized the graft thickness in ≤ 1 mm, 1.01 to 2 mm, and > 2 mm groups. The VAS pain was collected after the intervention on days 1, 3, 7, and 14. The highest pain perception was observed on the first postsurgical day and decreased over time. On day 1, for each millimeter of graft thickness increase, the VAS pain value increased by 15.6 units. A one-millimeter increase in graft thickness increased the VAS value by 17.66 units on day 3. On day 7, an increase of the VAS pain values of 11.29 units by each additional millimeter of graft thickness was demonstrated in the postoperative pain concerning the graft length or thickness (P > 0.05).

Zucchelli et al. [23] included 44 patients randomly distributed into the following groups: suture + haemostatic sponge (Spongostan); and suture + haemostatic sponge + cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive (Spongostan + PeryAcryl). Regarding graft dimensions, grafts with less than 14 mm of width demonstrated less pain perception (P < 0.05) for both groups on days 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, and 14. Furthermore, in general, no correlation was observed between the height, or the thickness of the harvested graft, and the VAS pain results (P > 0.05). However, when the height was ≤ 4 mm, less VAS pain was observed on day 3, while graft thickness ≤ 1.5 mm promoted less pain on days 6 and 7.

Wyreibek et al. [17], in a prospective non randomized clinical trial, assessed data from 60 patients divided into 3 groups according to the graft length (group L1: ≤ 10 mm, group L2: 10 to 20 mm, and group L3: ≥ 20 mm) and into 2 groups depending on the graft thickness (group T1: ≤ 2 mm, and group T2: > 2 mm). Pain at the donor site was evaluated using a VAS scale 7 days postoperatively. No differences were demonstrated in the postoperative pain concerning the graft length or thickness (P > 0.05).

Synthesis of results

The postoperative pain was determined using VAS in three studies [16,17,28], while the evaluation was performed indirectly based on the mean of analgesics intake in one study [9]. Burkhartd et al. [28] evaluated the postoperative pain on days 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28, while Tavelli et al. [16] performed the evaluations on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 14. The evaluations were performed after...
Inconsistency Indirectness Not serious None Not Serious Moderate Imprecision Moderate Postoperative None Postoperative Not Serious Serious Study design

Risk of bias across studies

The certainty of evidence was considered moderate according to the GRADE criteria for postoperative pain. Inconsistency was judged to be serious due clinical and methodological heterogeneity among studies for both study design. The assessment of certainty of evidence is presented in Table 7.

DISCUSSION

Autogenous soft tissue grafts are undeniably beneficial at periodontal and peri-implant clinical practice. However, the patient pain management is a major concern since the palatal graft harvesting may affect daily and work routines, causing stress, discomfort, and concerns. The present systematic review aimed to critically appraise available literature concerning the dimensional influence of the epithelialized tissue graft harvested from the palate in the postoperative pain. Herein, in summary, the tendency that bigger grafts increase the postoperative pain was observed. Therefore, understanding and anticipating the postoperative pain as a response to a graft extension may assist some clinical decisions regarding the surgical periodontal and peri-implant planning and the postoperative guidelines. When considering the philosophy of minimally invasive dentistry [29], it could be postulated that the necessity of soft tissue graft should be prevented always when possible. Whereas, identifying susceptible patients for gingival recessions and the evaluation of modifiable risk exposures are mandatory to develop adequate action plans [30]. Complementary, for dental implant placement, when anatomic conditions are favourable (e.g. enough keratinized mucosa and bone dimensions), proper treatment planning and precise surgical execution can avoid the necessity of soft tissue grafting procedures [31,32]. However, when prevention is not possible and major periodontal defects and complex anatomic deficiencies are found, soft tissue grafts are mandatory [33].

Within this context, several strategies have been investigated to reduce postoperative morbidity. Positive results have been demonstrated when palatal wound coverage is performed with platelet-rich fibrin [15], ozonated oils [34], topical erythropoietin [35], oral flurbiprofen spray [36], hyaluronic acid [37], and cyanoacrylate [13], among others. Techniques have also been described as alternatives to reduce patient postoperative morbidity. For example, in order to remove subepithelial connective tissue graft, the single incision technique has been demonstrated to significantly reduce early healing, compared to trap-door approach [38]. On the other hand, Zucchelli et al. [9] compared the employment of CTG harvesting through the trap door technique with the de-epithelialization of FGG and no differences were observed on postoperative pain between the techniques. Alternatively, the employment of non-autogenous materials has been proposed, such as allografts, xenografts, and synthetic soft tissue substitutes. Those major advances on bioengineering would be not just morbidity reduction, but also the unlimited graft availability [33]. In this regard, collagen matrices have been employed to substitute soft periodontal and peri-implant tissues [39,40]. Although favourable results have been demonstrated, the volume gain is usually lower than when conventional matrices are employed, compared to autogenous soft tissue graft [39]. On the other hand, a randomized clinical trial demonstrated comparable results between autogenous CTG and volume-stable collagen matrix on crestal and buccal volume at dental implants [40].

Table 7. GRADE summary of findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Risk of bias</th>
<th>Inconsistency</th>
<th>Indirectness</th>
<th>Imprecision</th>
<th>Other considerations</th>
<th>Certainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trials</td>
<td>Not serious</td>
<td>Serious*</td>
<td>Not serious</td>
<td>Not Serious</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>Non-randomized clinical studies</td>
<td>Not serious</td>
<td>Serious*</td>
<td>Not serious</td>
<td>Not Serious</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Presence of clinical and methodological heterogeneity.

GRADE = grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation.
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It is important to highlight that even though postoperative morbidity reduction is desired, the results obtained on the present systematic review are based on studies that showed a big heterogeneity among each other, not allowing the performance of a meta-analysis. Suggesting the classification standardization of graft dimensions, taking in consideration thickness, height and width for future researches (Table 2) [16]. Moreover, risk of bias was considered moderate to high on some investigations included. Methodological issues such as groups randomization should be further improved on future research about this theme. It is important to highlight that the only study that has not found statistical influence of graft dimensions on pain perception was a non-randomized study that employed just one postoperative measurement (after one week) [17]. Zucchelli et al. [9] have shown that the height of the graft may positively affect patient discomfort, however not all the articles included in the present systematic review confirm this correlation [11,16]. A possible explanation may be the differences of the graft harvested dimensions. Nevertheless, no matter the dimensions of the harvested area, the palatal donor area seems to create postoperative pain [9]. However, as demonstrated by Zucchelli et al. [9], patient suffering and discomfort following EGG harvesting techniques can be successfully controlled if properly managed, improving wound healing, decreasing patient distress and in consequence patients are more willing to receive treatment [9,11,16,17,28]. Regarding the limitations of this systematic review, it should be highlighted the lack of graft measurement standardization observed in included studies. Additionally, it is important to mention that not all the included studies prescribed analgesic, as well as some studies evaluated the postoperative pain in smoker patients. Also, some studies performed additional procedures to promote haemostasis and pain control. Therefore, further RCTs shall be performed evaluating the dimensional influence of palatal epithelized tissue graft increasing the methodological quality.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the moderate certainty level, bigger graft sizes of palatal epithelized harvesting appear to promote more postoperative pain. Further randomized clinical trials shall be performed evaluating the dimensional influence of palatal epithelized tissue graft increasing the methodological quality.
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### Appendix 1. Database search strategy, May 15th, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Search Strategy</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Library</td>
<td>(Palate OR Palates OR palatal) AND (“Connective Tissue” OR “Connective Tissues” OR “Gingival Recession” OR harvest OR “connective tissue graft” OR “free gingival graft” OR mucogingival OR “soft tissue graft” OR CTG OR FGG OR “peri-implant” OR periodontal OR “masticatory mucosa” OR “Gingival Retraction Techniques” OR Gingiva OR Gums OR Gum) in Title Abstract Keyword AND dimension OR dimensions OR length OR measurement OR thickness OR height OR thin OR thick OR width in Title Abstract Keyword AND Pain OR comfort OR discomfort OR Morbidity OR Morbidities OR Bleeding OR Hemostasis OR Hemorrhage OR healing OR epithelization OR “re-epithelization” OR “non-healing” OR wound in Title Abstract Keyword - in Trials (Word variations have been searched)</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embase</td>
<td>((‘palate’/exp OR palate OR palates OR palatal) AND (“connective tissue” OR “connective tissues” OR ‘gingival recession’ OR harvest OR ‘connective tissue graft’ OR ‘free gingival graft’ OR mucogingival OR ‘soft tissue graft’ OR ctg OR fgg OR ‘peri-implant’ OR periodontal OR ‘masticatory mucosa’ OR ‘gingival retraction techniques’ OR gingiva OR gums OR gum)) AND (‘dimension’/exp OR dimension OR dimensions OR length OR measurement OR thickness OR ‘height’/exp OR thickness OR ‘height’/exp OR height OR thin OR thick OR width/exp OR width) AND (‘pain’/exp OR pain OR ‘comfort’/exp OR comfort OR ‘discomfort’/exp OR discomfort OR ‘morbidity’/exp OR morbidity OR morbidities OR bleeding/exp OR bleeding OR ‘heamostasis’/exp OR hemostasis OR ‘hemorrhage’/exp OR hemorrhage OR ‘healing’/exp OR healing OR ‘epithelization’/exp OR epithelization OR ‘re-epithelization’ OR ‘non-healing’/exp OR ‘wound’/exp OR wound)</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILACS</td>
<td>(tw:(‘Palate’/exp OR Palate OR Palatos OR palate OR paladar OR palatino) AND (“Connective Tissue” OR “Connective Tissues” OR “Gingival Recession” OR harvest OR “connective tissue graft” OR “free gingival graft” OR mucogingival OR “soft tissue graft” OR CTG OR FGG OR “peri-implant” OR periodontal OR “masticatory mucosa” OR “Gingival Retraction Techniques” OR Gingiva OR Gums OR Gym OR “Tecido conjuntivo” OR “recessão gengival” OR “recessão da gengiva” OR “exerto gengival livre” OR mucogingival OR “exerto de tecido mole” OR perimplantar OR “peri-implantar” OR periodontal OR “mucosa mastigatória” OR “retração gengival” OR gengiva OR “tejido conjunto” OR “tejido conectivo” OR “recession gingival” OR “recessão de encia” OR “injeto de tejido blando” OR “gingival libre” OR mucogingival OR perimplantario OR perimplantaria OR “retraccion de encia” OR “retraccion gengival” OR “encia”)) AND (tw:(dimension OR dimensions OR length OR measurement OR thickness OR height OR thin OR thick OR width OR Dimensões OR comprimento OR largura OR medidas OR medições OR espessura OR altura OR fino OR fina OR grosso OR grossa OR dimensiómes OR longitut OR medidas OR espessuras OR delgado OR Delgada OR gruesa OR grueso)) AND (tw:(Pain OR comfort OR discomfort OR Morbidity OR Morbidities OR Bleeding OR Hemostasis OR Hemorrhage OR healing OR epithelization OR “re-epithelization” OR “non-healing” OR wound OR dor OR desconforto OR conforto OR morbidade OR sangramento OR hemostasia OR hemorragia OR cicatrizar OR epitelização OR repiteptilização OR ferida OR dolor OR morbidade OR sangrado OR cicatrizar OR epitelizar OR repeteptilizar OR herida)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>([‘Palate’/Mesh Terms OR ‘Palate’/Title/Abstract OR ‘Palates’/Title/Abstract OR ‘palatal’/Title/Abstract]) AND (“Connective Tissue”/Mesh Terms OR “Connective Tissue”/Title/Abstract OR “Connective Tissue”/Mesh Terms OR “Connective Tissue”/Title/Abstract OR “Gingival Recession”/Title/Abstract OR “Gingival Recession”/Mesh Terms OR “harvest”/Title/Abstract OR “connective tissue graft”/Title/Abstract OR “free gingival graft”/Title/Abstract OR “mucogingival”/Title/Abstract OR “soft tissue graft”/Title/Abstract OR “CTG”/Title/Abstract OR “FGG”/Title/Abstract OR “Gingival Retraction Techniques”/Mesh Terms OR “Gingiva”/MeSH Terms OR “Gums”/Title/Abstract OR “Gum”/Title/Abstract) AND (“dimension”/Title/Abstract OR “dimensions”/Title/Abstract OR “length”/Title/Abstract OR “measurement”/Title/Abstract OR “thickness”/Title/Abstract OR “height”/Title/Abstract OR “thin”/Title/Abstract OR “thick”/Title/Abstract OR “width”/Title/Abstract) AND (“Pain”/Mesh Terms OR “Comfort”/Title/Abstract OR “Discomfort”/Title/Abstract OR “Morbidity”/Mesh Terms OR “Morbidity”/Title/Abstract OR “Morbidities”/Title/Abstract OR “Bleeding”/Title/Abstract OR “Hemostasis”/Mesh Terms OR “Hemostasis”/Title/Abstract OR “Hemorrhage”/Title/Abstract OR “Hemorrhage”/Mesh Terms OR “Hemorrhage”/Title/Abstract OR “healing”/Title/Abstract OR “epithelization”/Title/Abstract OR “re-epithelization”/Title/Abstract OR “non-healing”/Title/Abstract OR “wound”/Title/Abstract)</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>(TITLE-ABS-KEY(Palate OR Palates OR palatal) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“Connective Tissue” OR “Connective Tissues” OR “Gingival Recession” OR harvest OR “connective tissue graft” OR “free gingival graft” OR mucogingival OR “soft tissue graft” OR CTG OR FGG OR “peri-implant” OR periodontal OR “masticatory mucosa” OR “Gingival Retraction Techniques” OR Gingiva OR Gums OR Gum)) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(“dimension” OR “dimensions” OR length OR measurement OR thickness OR height OR thin OR thick OR width) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY(Pain OR comfort OR discomfort OR Morbidity OR Morbidities OR Bleeding OR Hemostasis OR Hemorrhage OR healing OR epithelization OR “re-epithelization” OR “non-healing” OR wound)</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>TS=(“root canal therapy” OR “root canal therapies” OR “root canal treatment” OR “root canal treatments” OR “endodontic treatment” OR “endodontic therapy” OR “endodontic treatments” OR “endodontic therapies” OR “root canal obturation” OR “root canal obstructions” OR “Endodontic Obturation” OR “Endodontic Obstructions” OR “root canal filling” OR “root canal fillings” OR “root filling” OR “root fillings” OR “periapical healing” OR “periapical health” OR “tooth survival” OR “nonsurgical root canal treatment”) AND TS=(“tomography” OR “tomographies” OR “cone beam” OR “cone beams” OR “periapical radiography” OR “periapical radiograph” OR “periapical radiographs” OR “radiography” OR “radiographies” OR “X-Ray” OR “X-Rays” OR “radiologic exam” OR “radiologic exams” OR “radiographic”) AND TS=(“success” OR “successful” OR “Clinical Effectiveness” OR “Clinical Effectiveness” OR “Treatment Effectiveness” OR “Treatment Efficacy” OR “treatment outcome”) AND TS=(“Palate OR Palates OR palatal) AND (“Connective Tissue” OR “Connective Tissues” OR “Gingival Recession” OR harvest OR “connective tissue graft” OR “free gingival graft” OR mucogingival OR “soft tissue graft” OR CTG OR FGG OR “peri-implant” OR periodontal OR “masticatory mucosa” OR “Gingival Retraction Techniques” OR Gingiva OR Gums OR Gum)) AND TOPIC: (dimension OR dimensions OR length OR measurement OR thickness OR height OR thin OR thick OR width) AND TOPIC: (Pain OR comfort OR discomfort OR Morbidity OR Morbidities OR Bleeding OR Hemostasis OR Hemorrhage OR healing OR epithelization OR “re-epithelization” OR “non-healing” OR wound)</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>